

From: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 10/10/2012 9:12:18 AM

To: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
CC: "Richard Rupert" <Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>
BCC: "Kelley Chase" <Chase.Kelley@epamail.epa.gov>; "Trish Taylor" <Taylor.Trish@epamail.epa.gov>;
"heston.gerald@epa.gov" <heston.gerald@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: more water testing in Dimock

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

In general, more data is better and should be consistent with the EPA data you already have. This gives a better "pre activity resuming" database to evaluate against after activities are resumed. I suggest that, if you agree to let them sample, you ask them what they will be analyzing for in advance. I also suggest that you make sure that they will provide you with all the data results of their sampling at your home. Its true that the EPA data gives you one sampling event to use. And, yes, if that's all we had, it would be enough to perform some evaluation after activities resume. But in the data collection world, more information is always better. So, two sampling events prior to resuming activities is better. However, if you feel strongly not to let them sample, then that's your decision and I wouldn't want to cause you to be influenced otherwise.

Rich

Richard M. Fetzer
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307

From: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
To: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 10/09/2012 05:19 PM
Subject: more water testing in Dimock

We have been contacted by the gas company for more water testing-they want it before they resume activities. Can you tell me why your testing of our water would not be adequate for them? We do not want to have them test us anymore and have stated that your water testing which was done before they resume activity should be enough. Sincerely, **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**